

### **Subpart 1872.6—Payload Formulation**

#### **1872.601 Payroll formulation.**

(a) Payload elements for Space Transportation System (STS) missions can come from many sources. These include those selected through AOs, those generated by in-house research, unsolicited proposals and those derived from agreements between NASA and external entities. However, it is anticipated that the primary source of NASA payload elements will be the AO process. Generally, proposals for payload elements submitted outside the AO process will not be selected if they would have been responsive to an AO objective.

(b) Payload elements for STS flights fall into two major categories. “NASA or NASA-related” payload elements are those which are developed by a NASA Program Office or by another party with which NASA has a shared interest. “Non-NASA” payload elements are those which require only STS operation services from NASA and interface with NASA through the Office of Space Flight.

(c) In general, a Program Office will be designated responsibility for formulating the “NASA or NASA-related” portion of an STS payload. The Office of Space Flight will be responsible for formulating the “non-NASA” portion of an STS payload. Flights may, of course, consist wholly of payload elements of either type. Resource allocation for mixed missions will be determined by the Program Office and the Office of Space Flight.

### **Subpart 1872.7—Acquisition and Other Considerations**

#### **1872.701 Early involvement essential.**

(a) The distinctive feature of the AO process is that it is both a program planning system and an acquisition system in one procedure. The choice of what aeronautical and space phenomena to investigate is program planning. Acquisition is involved with the purchase of property and services to carry out the selected investigations.

(b) Because of both the programmatic and multi-functional aspects of the AO

process, early involvement of external program office elements is essential. Success of the process requires that it proceed in a manner that meets program goals and complies with statutory requirements and acquisition policy.

(c) The planning, preparation and selection schedule for the investigation should commence early enough to meet statutory and regulatory requirements. Chief of these are the requirements for soliciting maximum feasible competition and for conducting discussions with offerors within the competitive range by the Project Office and/or any other evaluation group or office authorized by the selection official.

#### **1872.702 Negotiation, discussions, and contract award.**

(a) The AO shall be synopsized in the Commerce Business Daily. Responses to the synopsis must be added to the AO mailing list. Every effort should be made to publish opportunities far enough in advance to encourage a broad response. (In no case less than 45 days before the date set for receipt of proposals).

(b) Significant items for consideration after receipt of proposals:

(1) Late proposals—The policy on late proposals contained in 1815.208 is applicable. Potential investigators should be informed of this policy. In the AO context, the selection official or designee will determine whether a late proposal will be considered.

(2) Competitive considerations. (i) The proposals submitted in response to the AOs are not necessarily fully comparable. However, all proposals within the scope of an opportunity must be evaluated in accordance with the criteria in the AO.

(ii) Cost must be considered in the evaluation if costs are involved in the investigation. General cost information should be given to the subcommittee by the Installation Project Office for use in determining the categories into which the subcommittee places proposals.

(iii) Further information should be obtained, as necessary, by the Installation Project Office and/or any other